Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 14-BG-108

IN RE: LARRY J. FELDMAN,

Respondent.

Bar Registration No. 460824

BEFORE: Beckwith, Associate Judge, and King and Reid, Senior Judges.

ORDER

BDN: 6-14

(FILED - April 30, 2015)

On consideration of the certified order of the Court of Appeals of Maryland indefinitely suspending respondent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction, see Attorney Grievance Com'n of Maryland v. Feldman, 106 A.3d 1158 (Md. 2014), this court's February 12, 2014, order suspending respondent and directing him to show cause why the functionally equivalent discipline in the form of a suspension for a period of five years or until reinstated to the bar of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, whichever is first, with his reinstatement conditioned upon a showing of fitness, should not be imposed, the statement of respondent, respondent's D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g) affidavits filed on March 11, 2014, this court's April 14, 2014, order that stayed final resolution until after the proceedings in Maryland had been completed, this court's February 18, 2015, order that lifted the stay, continued respondent's suspension, and directed him to show cause why the functionally equivalent discipline in the form of a suspension for a period of five years or until reinstated to the bar of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, whichever is first, with his reinstatement conditioned upon a showing of fitness, should not be imposed, and the statements of respondent and Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, it is

ORDERED that Larry J. Feldman is hereby indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia, *nunc pro tunc* to March 11, 2014. Respondent is eligible to seek reinstatement after a period of five years or after reinstatement to either the bar of Maryland or the bar of the United States District

Court for the District of Maryland, whichever occurs first. Reinstatement is also contingent upon a showing of fitness. *See In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483 (D.C. 2010) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate); *In re Maignan*, 988 A.2d 493 (D.C. 2010)(the functional equivalent discipline to an indefinite suspension imposed by the state of Maryland with no set time within which to seek reinstatement is an indefinite suspension with a fitness requirement with the right to seek reinstatement in five years or after reinstatement by the state of Maryland).

PER CURIAM