

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
COURT OF APPEALS**

No. 99-CO-1063

CARLOS GOODALL,

APPELLANT,

v.

UNITED STATES,

APPELLEE.

Before WAGNER, *Chief Judge*, and STEADMAN and FARRELL, *Associate Judges*.

**O R D E R**

**(Filed October 19, 2000)**

The opinion of the court issued on September 28, 2000, is hereby modified in the following respect. On page 9 of the slip opinion, beginning on line 12 of the full paragraph, the remainder of that paragraph shall read:

*See Holland v. United States*, 584 A.2d 13, 15-16 (D.C. 1990) (restitution is a direct consequence of a guilty plea; "Unlike typical examples of 'collateral' matters, . . . restitution . . . is an automatic result of the conviction in that there [is] no subsequent determination in a civil proceeding of the degree or amount of petitioner's liability. The result [is] absolutely part and parcel to the sentence itself."); *see also Cuthrell v. Director, Patuxent Institution*, 475 F.2d 1364, 1366 (4th Cir.) (consequence is "collateral" if it is not a definite, practical consequence of a defendant's guilty plea), *cert. denied*, 414 U.S. 1005 (1973).

PER CURIAM