Additional Instructions for Form CA 106A

Form CA 106A and SCR-Civ. 54-II provide that if an applicant receives Interim Disability Assistance (IDA), Medicaid, or the D.C. HealthCare Alliance, the court may grant the Application without requiring additional information from the applicant. Because Medicaid eligibility standards have been relaxed, if Medicaid is the only public or healthcare benefit the applicant is receiving, Civil Division judges require applicants provide additional information. Therefore, if Medicaid is the only public or healthcare benefit you are receiving, you should also complete Questions 3-7 on Form CA 106 A.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FAMILY COURT and CIVIL DIVISION

	-
Plaintiff/Petitioner	
v.	Case no:
Defendant/Respondent	_
OF COSTS, FEES, OR SECU	D WITHOUT PREPAYMENT RITY (<i>In Forma Pauperis</i>) 106A
I, □ Plaintiff/Petitioner □ Defendant/F	am the (check one)
I need an interpreter for this case [Insert Language].	e. I speak the following language:
or fees and without giving security for	ed in this case without pre-paying costs them because I am not able to do so If or to my family. In support of this
Check and answer only those that app	ly.
INC	<u>OME</u>
U .	benefits, and the law presumes that I prepayment of costs, fees, or security
 □ Temporary Assistance for I □ General Assistance for Chil □ Program on Work, Employ □ Supplemental Security Inc 	ldren (GAC) ment and Responsibility (POWER)

2. Even though I do not receive the above public benefits, I receive the following similar benefits and, therefore, request that my Application be approved:
☐ Interim Disability Assistance (IDA) because my SSI application has not been approved/certified
☐ Medicaid
☐ DC Healthcare Alliance or the following similar health benefits (describe)
If you checked any of the above boxes, you do not need to answer any more questions and may skip to the section called "Declaration." Otherwise, you must answer the rest of the questions on this form. It additional information is required, you will be notified.
3. My total income over the past 12 months from all sources (including, but not limited to, my job, other wages or business income, rental income, pensions, annuities or life insurance payments, worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or insurance, annual interest or dividends, gifts, alimony or spousa support, inheritance or trust income) is \$
4. I am presently unemployed. The last date I worked was on Month Year
<u>DEPENDENTS</u>
5. How many people live in your household and depend on you for support: Of these people, how many are minor children or elderly?

ASSETS

OTHER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

Ш	8. (Optional) Explain any other special circumstances that you
	want to have considered in support of your request, including any
	large monthly expenses, debts, wage or bank account
	garnishments, and/or judgments.

DECLARATION

REQUIRED: I solemnly swear or affirm under criminal penalties for the making of a false statement, which includes 180 days in jail or a \$1,000 fine or both, that I have read this Application and that the factual statements made in it are true to the best of my personal knowledge, information and belief.¹

Signature		
Address		
Phone Number		
Date	 	

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF COSTS, FEES, OR SECURITY

- 1. D.C. Code § 15-712.
- 2. D.C. Code § 22-2405.
- 3. Civil Rule 54-II, Domestic Relations Proceedings Rule 54-II, and Family Rule R.
- 4. Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., 335 U.S. 331 (1948).
- 5. Harris v. Harris, 137 U.S. App. D.C. 318, 322, 424 F.2d 806 (1970), cert. denied, 400 U.S. 826 (1970) ("in forma pauperis relief not limited to those who are public charges or absolutely destitute").
- 6. Green v. Green, 562 A.2d 1214 (D.C. 1989) (statute "effectuates the fundamental principle that every litigant should be provided equal access to the courts without regard to financial ability").
- 7. Herbin v. Hoeffel, 727 A.2d 883, 887 (D.C. 1999) (court officers serve process in *in forma pauperis* cases).
- 8. Cabillo v. Cabillo, 317 A.2d 866, 866 (D.C. 1974) (per curiam) (reversing denial of *in forma pauperis* status and mandating granting of petition where litigant's income "only slightly above the welfare standard").

5

¹ When you come to court, you may be asked questions about this Application. If your responses are not truthful, you could face additional criminal penalties.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FAMILY COURT and CIVIL DIVISION

Plaint	iff/Petitioner
V.	Case No
Defer	ndant/Respondent ORDER
	Having considered ☐ Plaintiff/Petitioner's ☐ Defendant/Respondent's
Applic	cation to Proceed without Prepayment of Costs, Fees, or Security, it is
hereb	by ordered that the Application is:
	GRANTED in this Family Court case and, pursuant to Domestic
	Relations Rule 54-II, witnesses will be subpoenaed without
	prepayment of witness fees;
	GRANTED in this Civil Division case and, pursuant to Civil Rule 54-II,
	the officers of the Court will issue and serve all process; witnesses will
	be subpoenaed without prepayment of witness fees;
	DENIED
	For the following reasons:
	For the reasons stated on the record in open court and in the
	presence of the applicant or his or her counsel;
 Date	