

*Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.*

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS**

**No. 19-BG-443**

IN RE DANIEL CARLSON,

**2018 DDN 206**

A Member of the Bar of the  
District of Columbia Court of Appeals

**Bar Registration No. 1000708**

BEFORE: Beckwith and Deahl, Associate Judges, and Washington, Senior Judge.

**ORDER**

(FILED—June 2, 2022)

On consideration of the certified order from the state of Michigan disbarring respondent by consent; this court's April 5, 2022, order directing respondent to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed; respondent's D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g) affidavit filed on July 1, 2019; and the statement of Disciplinary Counsel; and it appearing that respondent has not filed a response; it is

ORDERED that Daniel Carlson is hereby disbarred from the practice of law in the District of Columbia, *nunc pro tunc* to July 1, 2019. *See In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483, 487 (D.C. 2010) (explaining that there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of imposition of identical discipline and exceptions to this presumption should be rare); *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate).

**PER CURIAM**