

**PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM**

(Check appropriate box)

Performance Elements	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Unacceptable
Quality of Service					
Timeliness of Performance					
Cost Control					
Business Relations					
Customer Satisfaction					

1. Name & Title of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Signature of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Name of Organization: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Telephone Number of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
5. State type of service received: \_\_\_\_\_
6. State Contract Number, Amount and period of Performance \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
7. Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation.  
(Continue on separate sheet if needed)
  
8. Remarks on unacceptable performance: Provide data supporting this observation.  
(Continue on separate sheet if needed)

**RATING GUIDELINES**

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions a guidance in making these evaluations.

	<b>Quality Product/Service</b>	<b>Cost Control</b>	<b>Timeless of Performance</b>	<b>Business Relations</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Compliance with contract requirements</li> <li>-Accuracy of reports</li> <li>-Appropriateness of personnel</li> <li>-Technical excellence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Within budget (over/ under target costs)</li> <li>-Current, accurate, and complete billings</li> <li>-Relationship of negotiated costs to actual</li> <li>-Cost efficiencies</li> <li>-Change order issue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Meet interim milestones</li> <li>-Reliable</li> <li>-Responsive to technical directions</li> <li>-Completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration</li> <li>-No liquidated damages assessed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Effective management</li> <li>-Businesslike correspondence</li> <li>-Responsive to contract requirements</li> <li>-Prompt notification of contract problems</li> <li>-Reasonable/cooperative</li> <li>-Flexible</li> <li>-Pro-active</li> <li>-effective contractor recommended solutions</li> <li>-Effective small/mid/ disadvantaged business Subcontracting program</li> </ul>
<b>0. Zero</b>	Nonconformances are comprised the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources	Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements.	Delays are comprising the achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources.	Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive.
<b>1. Unacceptable</b>	Nonconformances require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive.
<b>2. Poor</b>	Nonconformances require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquiries, technical service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive.
<b>3. Acceptable</b>	Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquiries, technical service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive.
<b>4. Good</b>	There are no quality problems	There are no cost issues.	There are not delays.	Responses to inquiries, technical service/administrative issues is effective and responsive.
<b>5. Excellent</b>	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.			