

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 22-BG-626

IN RE RACHAEL-ANNE HAMMER

DDN2020-D167

An Administratively Suspended Member of the
Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals

Bar Registration No. 468828

BEFORE: Deahl and AliKhan, Associate Judges, and Washington, Senior Judge.

ORDER

(FILED—October 27, 2022)

On consideration of the certified order from the Commonwealth of Virginia suspending respondent from the practice of law for 60 days with additional terms; this court's August 23, 2022, order suspending respondent pending resolution of this matter and directing her to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed; and the statement of Disciplinary Counsel, and it appearing that respondent has not filed a response or her D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g) affidavit, it is

ORDERED that Rachael-Anne Hammer is hereby suspended for 60 days from the practice of law in the District of Columbia subject to the terms imposed in Virginia. *See In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483, 487-88 (D.C. 2010) (explaining that there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of imposition of identical discipline and exceptions to this presumption should be rare); *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (explaining that a rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that, for purposes of reinstatement, respondent's suspension will not begin to run until such time as she files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g).

PER CURIAM